

# Cloud infrastructure actual security challenges ...from Telecom perspective

Gábor Csordás – Security Architect @Nokia

NOKIA

### Challenges and transformation of cloud security

Technological transformation towards cloud nativeness & business model evolution

- legacy bare metal (DSPs, ATCA) →virtualization →cloudification→container infrastructure (embedded into VMs or bare metal)
- Convergence towards IT (also on application level)
- Wide usage of OSS (Open Source Software)
- Degradation in security perception when transforming from VM based to container based architecture
- Moving from private to public cloud  $\rightarrow$
- Paradigm shift: Perimeter protection + DMZ → zero trust philosophy → each product as a standalone component must be secure enough

**5G relevance**: cloud native (CNF based + orchestrated) productized also for public-cloud deployment, wide usage of OSS components

### Cloud security - Infrastructure vs. application-level security

#### • Infrastructure layer:

Wide usage and convergence\* of Telco infrastructure in Telco environment: (virtualization techniques, cloud platforms, containerization) Using the IT-based environments in Telco also inherits their vulnerabilities Most of the known vulnerabilities are targeting the infrastructure layer

- low hanging fruit, huge install base
- prerequisite for the more sophisticated, application-level attacks
- widely documented, automated tools available
- Application layer:

Requires special, application and/or environment specific information and knowledge

- vulnerabilities typically not shared within the general security community
- telco standards and architectures
- signalling protocols
- solution specific implementation details (topology & architecture)



#### A real sophisticated cyberattack typically involves both the infrastructure layer and the application level.

\*Telco applications might need some improvement in commodity IT infrastructures (e.g., real-time scheduling requirements, HA- improvements)

### Quick case study – highly sophisticated attack methods

#### Spectre & Meltdown

Hardware vulnerabilities allow programs to steal data which is currently processed on the computer.

#### https://meltdownattack.com/

(hyperthreading – protection method in the hypervisor – performance penalty of protection vs. thread level – exploiting the stolen data – decision for mitigation based on the business model transformation)

L1TF: A speculative execution side channel cache timing vulnerability, potentially allowing unauthorized disclosure of information residing in the L1 data cache. <u>https://www.intel.com/content/www/us/en/architecture-and-technology/l1tf.html</u> Foreshadow – Intel CPUs Affected By L1TF Vulnerabilities - Swascan





NOKIA

### Quick case study – Erosion of trust because of legacy protocols

**SS7 attacks:** Compromise and intercept voice and SMS communications on a cellular network. Legacy protocols designed for isolated environments. Later moved to convergent infrastructure.

<u>A Step by Step Guide to SS7 Attacks - FirstPoint (firstpoint-mg.com)</u>

**Syniverse:** Handles 740 billion text messages annually for carriers around the world including Vodafone, AT&T, T-Mobile, Verizon and China Mobile.

- Syniverse quietly admits it was hacked for five years | Light Reading
- Who is Syniverse, anyway?. One of the world's largest SS7 hubs was... | by David Allen Burgess | Telecom Expert | Oct, 2021 | Medium

→ Still trusting in SMS based Multi-factor authentication?

**The Facebook story** (no indication for being a victim of an attack, but BGP is used by other clouds as well, hosting telco applications ) & **poisoning** (ARP-, BGP -, etc) type of attacks

- <u>https://www.theverge.com/2021/10/4/22709260/what-is-bgp-border-gateway-protocol-explainer-internet-facebook-outage</u>
- Beginner's Guide to Understanding BGP (cdemi.io)
- <u>An Internet-Scale Feasibility Study of BGP Poisoning as a Security Primitive | DeepAI</u>





### OSS - Curse or blessing?

Benefits of using OSS software: <u>https://flosshub.org/sites/flosshub.org/files/Benefits%20and%20Drawbacks.pdf</u>

Focusing on security related drawbacks:

- Attractive target for cyber attacks:
  - -usage of outdates SW
  - -OSS included everywhere (infra + application)
  - -public documentation of vulnerabilities (pros+cons)
  - +widely available vulnerability scanners working based on vulnerability databases (e.g. CVEs\*)
  - -SLA challenges (directly included OSS vs. re-packaged OSS)
  - -extremely fast inflation of being vulnerability-free

"You become responsible, forever, for what you have tamed."

→Need for SVM (Software Vulnerability Management) and for systematic hardening

\*The mission of the **CVE** Program is to identify, define, and catalog publicly disclosed cybersecurity **vulnerabilities** <u>CVE - CVE (mitre.org)</u>



https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/ Open\_Source\_Initiative



NOKIA

# Thank You

.......

